

part, the Magistrate Judge's recommendation or recommit the matter with instructions. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

Plaintiff was advised of his right to file objections to the Report and Recommendation [Dkt. No. 9 at 6]. Plaintiff filed timely objections to the Report and Recommendation. [Dkt. No. 11].

Objections to the Report must be specific. Failure to file specific written objections to the Report and Recommendation results in a party's waiver of the right to appeal from the judgment of the District Court based upon such recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); *Wright v. Collins*, 766 F.2d 841 (4th Cir. 1985); *United States v. Schronce*, 727 F.2d 91 (4th Cir. 1984). In the absence of specific objections to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, this court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. *See Camby v. Davis*, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

Therefore, after a thorough review of the Magistrate Judge's Report, the record in this case, and Plaintiff's objections to the Report, the court finds that Plaintiff's objections are non-specific, unrelated to the dispositive portions of the Magistrate Judge's Report, or merely restate his claims. Accordingly, this court **ADOPTS** the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation [Dkt. No. 9]. It is therefore **ORDERED** that Plaintiff's Complaint [Dkt. No. 1] in the above-captioned case is **SUMMARILY DISMISSED** *without* prejudice and without service of process.

IT IS SO ORDERED.



United States District Judge

April 26, 2013
Greenville, South Carolina